

Assessment Services - Florida State University - Semester/Year: Summer B 2005

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: Joseph Young
 Department: POLITICAL SCIENCE
 Course: CPO2002 (INTRO COMPARATV GOVT) Section#: 01 Ref#: 00397
 Forms Ordered: 46 Responses: 33 (72%)
 Procedural Discrepancies: Proctor Sheet IS Blank

Response Scale		Response Scale	
SA = Strongly Agree	= 1	E = Excellent	= 1
A = Agree	= 2	VG = Very Good	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3	G = Good	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4	F = Fair	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5	P = Poor	= 5

Section A Demographics

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	1 3%	4 12%	16 48%	12 36%	0 0%
	1.99 or less	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	0 0%	4 12%	10 31%	7 22%	11 34%
	A	B	C	D	F
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	14 42%	14 42%	4 12%	0 0%	0 0%
	yes	no			
4. Is this a required course for you?	24 73%	9 27%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	20 61%	13 39%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	20 61%	10 30%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	17 52%	13 39%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	24 73%	9 27%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	25 76%	8 24%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	.5
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	28 85%	4 12%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	30 91%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	20 61%	12 36%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	20 61%	12 36%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.	22 67%	8 24%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.	23 70%	8 24%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
12. The instructor treated students with respect.	25 78%	7 22%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	0
13. Students were able to get individual help.	20 62%	9 28%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	1
Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.	20 61%	13 39%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.	26 79%	6 18%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0
3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.	28 85%	4 12%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0
	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was	18 56%	12 38%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	1
5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was	26 81%	4 12%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	0
Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Description of course objectives and assignments	20 61%	10 30%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
2. Communication of ideas and information	20 61%	11 33%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
3. Expression of expectations for performance in class	20 61%	11 33%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
4. Availability to assist students in or out of class	23 70%	5 15%	4 12%	1 3%	0 0%	33	1	1
5. Respect and concern for students	24 73%	8 24%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
6. Stimulation of interest in the course.	20 62%	11 34%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	1
7. Facilitation of learning.	21 64%	11 33%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
8. Overall assessment of instructor.	26 81%	6 19%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	0