

1. A person has a utility function of wealth given by  $u(w) = \sqrt{w}$ , where  $w$  is wealth. Her initial wealth is \$100. She also has a lottery ticket that will be worth \$44 with a probability of 1/2 and will be worth \$0 with a probability of 1/2.

- (a) What is her expected utility?

$$Eu(w) = \frac{1}{2}(100 + 44)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}(100)^{1/2} = 11.$$

- (b) What is the lowest price  $p$  at which she would part with the lottery ticket?

$$(100 + p)^{1/2} = 11 \Rightarrow p = \$21.$$

2. SIU Carbondale

- (a) How much insurance will the homeowner purchase?

The homeowner will maximize expected utility given by

$$pu(W - L - \pi q + q) + (1 - p)u(W - \pi q)$$

Differentiate with respect to  $q$  and set equal to zero.

$$pu'(W - L - \pi q^* + q^*)(1 - \pi) - (1 - p)u'(W - \pi q^*)\pi = 0$$

Rearranging,

$$\frac{u'(W - L + (1 - \pi)q^*)}{u'(W - \pi q^*)} = \frac{(1 - p)\pi}{p(1 - \pi)}.$$

For the insurance company, expected profit is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\pi q^* - q^*) + (1 - p)\pi q^* \\ &= -p(1 - \pi)q^* + (1 - p)\pi q^* \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

in a competitive market. Thus  $\pi = p$ . This insurance is actuarially fair.

However, since  $\pi = p$ , the first order condition becomes:

$$u'(W - L + (1 - \pi)q^*) = u'(W - \pi q^*)$$

Given strict risk aversion,  $u''(W) < 0$ , i.e., the first derivative is strictly decreasing then the above condition implies that  $W - L + (1 - \pi)q^* = W - \pi q^*$  from which it follows that  $q^* = L$ .

- (b) Now suppose that homeowners have learned over time that when there is a flood, the federal government steps in and offers grants to rebuild the damaged homes. Suppose further that these grants offset a fraction,  $t$ , of the total loss  $L$  leaving the homeowner to pay for the remaining loss,  $(1 - t)L$ . In this case, how much insurance will the homeowner purchase? In the above equations just replace  $L$  with  $(1 - t)L$ . The last result yields

$$\begin{aligned} W - (1 - t)L + (1 - \pi)q^* &= W - \pi q^* \\ \Rightarrow q^* &= (1 - t)L. \end{aligned}$$

The homeowners only insure that fraction of the damages that are not picked up by the government.

3. Suppose you currently have a job in city A that pays \$1600 per week. If you move to city B and search for a job there is a probability of 1/2 that you will get a job that pays \$2500 per week and a probability of 1/2 that you will get a job that pays \$900 per week. Your utility depends only on your weekly salary. (I.e., except for the salaries, the jobs and the cities are equally attractive and moving costs are zero).

- (a) Which option would you choose, i.e. would you stay in city A at your current job or would you move to city B and search for a job?

Your decision is based on your personal attitude toward risk.

- (b) A friend named Sue has a utility function given by  $u(x) = \sqrt{x}$  where  $x$  is her monthly salary. She faces the same options that you do. Which of the above options would Sue choose?

We calculate expected utility under each option.

- i. A:  $Eu = (1600)^{1/2} = 40$
- ii. B:  $Eu = \frac{1}{2}(2500)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}(900)^{1/2} = 40$ .

Sue is indifferent between the two options.

- (c) Given your answers to 3a and 3b, who is more risk averse, you or Sue?

You are more risk averse than Sue if you prefer A and less risk averse if you prefer B.

- (d) Another friend named George has a utility function given by  $u(x) = \ln x$  where  $x$  is his monthly salary. He also faces the same options that you and Sue do. Who is more risk averse, Sue or George? Which of the above options would George choose?

- i. A:  $Eu = \ln(1600) = 7.3778$
- ii. B:  $Eu = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2500) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(900) = 7.3132$

George chooses to stay in city A. He is more risk averse than Sue.

4. A risky alternative pays \$4 with probability 1/2 and pays \$16 with probability 1/2. Find the certainty equivalent of this risky alternative for the Bernoulli utility function,  $u(x) = x^{1/2}$ .

$$u(CE) = (CE)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(4)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}(16)^{1/2} = 3; \quad CE = 9.$$

5. The Arrow-Pratt measure of *absolute* risk aversion is

$$r(w) = -\frac{u''(w)}{u'(w)}.$$

What is the form of the expected utility function if  $r(w) = r =$  a constant.

Just integrate twice.

$$\int -r \, dw = \int \frac{u''(w)}{u'(w)} \, dw$$

$$\begin{aligned} -rw + C &= \ln u'(w) \Rightarrow Ae^{-rw} = u'(w) \\ u'(w) &> 0 \Rightarrow A > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$u(w) = -\frac{A}{r}e^{-rw} + B$$

or just  $u(w) = \frac{-e^{-rw}}{r}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u'(w) &= e^{-rw}, u''(w) = -re^{-rw} \\ -\frac{u''(w)}{u'(w)} &= -\frac{-re^{-rw}}{e^{-rw}} = r \end{aligned}$$

6. The Arrow-Pratt measure of *relative* risk aversion is

$$\rho(w) = -\frac{u''(w)w}{u'(w)}.$$

What is the form of the expected utility function if  $\rho(w) = \rho =$  a constant.

Just integrate twice.

Rearranging

$$-\frac{\rho}{w} = \frac{u''(w)}{u'(w)}$$

and integrating

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\int \frac{\rho}{w} dw &= \int \frac{u''(w)}{u'(w)} dw \\
 -\rho \ln w + \ln A &= \ln u'(w) \\
 Aw^{-\rho} &= u'(w) \\
 u(w) &= \begin{cases} \frac{A}{1-\rho} w^{1-\rho} + B & \text{if } \rho \neq 1 \\ A \ln w + B & \text{if } \rho = 1 \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

or just

$$u(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{w^{1-\rho}}{1-\rho} & \text{if } \rho \neq 1 \\ \ln w & \text{if } \rho = 1 \end{cases}$$

We would require that  $\rho > 0$  so that  $u$  is strictly concave (strict risk aversion.)

$$\begin{aligned}
 u &= \frac{w^{1-\rho}}{1-\rho}, u' = w^{-\rho}, u'' = -\rho w^{-\rho-1} \\
 -\frac{u''w}{u'} &= -\frac{-\rho w^{-\rho-1}w}{w^{-\rho}} = \rho > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise

$$\begin{aligned}
 u &= \ln w, u' = w^{-1}, u'' = -w^{-2} \\
 \rho &= -\frac{-w^{-2}w}{w^{-1}} = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

7. A consumer has an expected utility function given by  $u(w) = w^{1/2}$ . She is given the choice of a lottery:

$$L = p \circ x \oplus (1-p) \circ y$$

where  $x = \$10,000$  and  $y = \$0$  or a sure thing, namely  $w = \$6400$ . Find the value of the probability,  $p$ , that makes her indifferent between the lottery  $L$  and the sure thing.

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(6400) &= p \cdot u(10000) + (1-p) \cdot u(0) \\
 80 &= p \cdot 100 + (1-p) \cdot 0 \\
 p &= 0.8
 \end{aligned}$$

8. The best lottery,  $B$ , is a sure wealth of \$100 and the worst lottery,  $W$ , is a sure wealth of \$0. The expected utility function is  $u(w) = w^{1/2}$ . Find another expected utility,  $v(w)$ , that represents the same preferences over lotteries and that has the property that  $v(W) = 0$  and  $v(B) = 1$ .

We seek an affine transformation of the form

$$v = a + bu, a > 0$$

with the property that

$$a + b(0^{1/2}) = 0$$

and

$$a + b(100^{1/2}) = 1.$$

Hence,  $a = 0$  and  $b = 1/10$ . Therefore,

$$v(w) = \frac{w^{1/2}}{10}.$$

9. This problem is just a variation of the Allais paradox. The solution technique is given in Varian.